

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/756,617 | ROSENBERG ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | PAUL A BELL | 2675 |

All Participants:

(1) PAUL A BELL.

Status of Application: nonfinal

(3) _____.

(2) ERIK B. MILCH # 42,887.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 June 2004

Time: 2.00 PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Possible rejection of claim 175 based on broad reading of "snapover force"

Claims discussed:

175

Prior art documents discussed:

Magic mouse

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr Milch decided to just cancel claim 175 without prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter contained therein so as to put the case into a state of allowance by removing all remaining issues.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)